



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,914	11/07/2001	David E. Weinstein	96700/677	2216

7590 10/31/2005

GLIMED, INC
ATTENTION: DR. DAVID E. WEINSTEIN
3960 BROADWAY
3RD FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10032

EXAMINER

JOHANNSEN, DIANA B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/035,914		WEINSTEIN, DAVID E.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Diana B. Johannsen		1634		

All Participants:

(1) Diana Johannsen.

(2) David Weinstein.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: N/A (telephonic)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DIANA JOHANNSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/27/05
N/A

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The status of the application was briefly discussed. Applicant indicated that it had not been his intention to abandon the application. The examiner noted that applicant's former representative (whose Request to Withdraw filed in March 2005 was granted in August 2005) did not respond to a Notice of Non-compliant amendment mailed January 12, 2005; however, the examiner also noted that while the Office's records indicate that this Notice was mailed with a Notice of Rescinded Abandonment on 1/12/2005, copies of both of these papers are missing from the Office's electronic file, indicating the possibility of an error on the part of the Office. The examiner suggested calling this to the attention of applicant's new attorney, who is not yet of record in the case. The examiner noted that applicant may petition to revive the application, and indicated that she would be happy to discuss the case with applicant's new attorney once he is of record in the case.